

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,330	01/15/2004	Kurt J. Korkowski	169.12-0614	6390
164 KINNEY & LA	7590 04/24/2007 NGE, P.A.	EXAMINER		
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING 312 SOUTH THIRD STREET			KAYRISH, MATTHEW	
	S, MN 55415-1002	ART UNIT 2627	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2627	
			-)(-	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	04/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/758,330	KORKOWSKI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Matthew G. Kayrish	2627

	Matthew G. Kayrish	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of earlier 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. (a) They raise new issues that would require further concept (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelin non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is properties that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			. *		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
	WAYNE YOUNG	Matthew G. Kayrisl AU 2627	١		

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment to claim 1 raises issues which require further search and/or consideration. Specifically, the claim language "of the endcap connected to the actuator" which refers to the body of the endcap raises new issues.

WAYNE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EYAMINI